## EXHIBIT D

## In the Matter Of:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v
GOOGLE, LLC

ARNAUD CREPUT

September 05, 2023



1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 4 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al, 6 Plaintiff, 7 Case No. vs. 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA 8 GOOGLE, LLC, 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 \*\*HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL \*\* 13 14 REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF 15 ARNAUD CREPUT 16 17 18 19 Tuesday, September 5, 2023 20 6:05 a.m. (EDT) 21 22 23 Reported by: 24 Joan Ferrara, RMR, FCRR 25 Job No. 2023-910076

-	-522, 225 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J	- Coptombor 60, 2020
	Page 6		Page 8
1	MS. ARYANKALAYIL: This is Anna	1	A I joined Equativ in 2015 as the
2	Aryankalayil from Orrick for the	2	CFO and I moved to the CEO position in July
3	company and for the witness.	3	2018. So like more than 5 years.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: If that is	4	Q As CEO of Equativ, what are your
5	everyone, thank you.	5	main responsibilities?
6	Our court reporter today is Joan	6	A My main responsibility is to
7	Ferrara and will now swear in the	7	drive the company's strategy, to drive the
8	witness.	8	company's (undecipherable) and
9	(Whereupon, DANIEL SHERR and	9	actually to do my best to make project
10	SIM SMILEY, Official FRENCH	10	successful.
11	Interpreters, were duly sworn to	11	Q And can you describe Equativ's
12	translate the proceedings.)	12	business at a high level?
13	ARNAUD CREPUT,	13	A Equativ is, was it's clear,
14	called as a witness, having been	14	the question is clear Equativ
15	duly sworn by a Notary Public, was	15	(undecipherable).
16	examined and testified as follows:	16	Q Can you describe Equativ's
17	EXAMINATION BY	17	business at a high level?
18	MR. VERNON:	18	A We are an ad tech company, so
19	Q Good morning. Can you state and	19	advertising technology company until 2015
20	spell your name for the record, please?	20	and we were operating on the ad server
21	A My name is $C-R-E-P-U-T$ .	21	business only. So we were on a server.
22	Q Okay. Let me quickly go over a	22	Our formal name was Smart Server and we
23	few guidelines for the deposition.	23	were an ad server standalone operating only
24	The first guideline is since the	24	on this business since 2014 or 2015. We
25	court reporter is translating what we say,	25	started to operate both on the ad server on
	Page 7		Page 9
1	please do your best to answer audibly as	1	the SSP business. So we are today an ad
2	opposed to using gestures, which I am doing	2	server and an SSP.
3	right now, or um, or nodding your head.	3	Q And I think you work and live in
4	Does that make sense?	4	France, is that right?
5	THE INTERPRETER: Okay. The	5	A The headquarters are in France.
6	interpreter will translate everything	6	We have positions globally. So we have
7	that is being said.	7	offices in 18 different countries,
8	A That's fine. All clear. Thank	8	including U.S.
9	you. Yeah.	9	Q And where is your personal
10	Q And then the second guideline is	10	office?
11	in one way this is not like a normal	11	A I am in Paris. I am located in
12	conversation. Please do your best to let	12	Paris, traveling something like two weeks
13	me finish my question before you start your	13	per month, and one week per month in the
14	answer. And by the same on the same	14	U.S., but my main office is in Paris.
15	hand, I will also try to do my best to let	15	Q Do you have an office in the
16	you finish your answer before I start	16   17	U.S.?  A We have an office in New York
	another guestion		A we have an office in New York
17	another question.		City yes
17 18	Does that make sense?	18	City, yes.  O When you work in the U.S do
17 18 19	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank	18 19	Q When you work in the U.S., do
17 18 19 20	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank you.	18	
17 18 19 20 21	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank you.  Q So I think you are the CEO of	18 19 20	Q When you work in the U.S., do you work from New York?  A Yes.
17 18 19 20	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank you.	18 19 20 21	Q When you work in the U.S., do you work from New York? A Yes.
17 18 19 20 21 22	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank you.  Q So I think you are the CEO of Equativ, is that right?	18 19 20 21 22	Q When you work in the U.S., do you work from New York? A Yes. Q Okay. Is there anywhere else in
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Does that make sense?  A Clear enough. It's okay. Thank you.  Q So I think you are the CEO of Equativ, is that right?  A Right.	18 19 20 21 22 23	Q When you work in the U.S., do you work from New York? A Yes. Q Okay. Is there anywhere else in the U.S. that you regularly work?

		1	
	Page 10		Page 12
1	person located outside of New York in	1	MR. VERNON: Sure.
2	Miami, in Chicago and some of the cities in	2	BY MR. VERNON:
3	the U.S. But most of the teams are in New	3	Q I want to define the phrase
4	York City.	4	media publisher to mean a text-based
5	Q And then you, personally, do you	5	publisher that uses direct and indirect
6	have any other offices in the U.S. that you		display ads like CNN or Lamond.
7	regularly work at?		Does that make sense?
8	A No, we don't. We don't. We	8	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
9	don't.	9	A Actually, this is a publisher
10	Q And do you have any homes in the	10	Digital One, which is building content on
11	U.S.?	11	the internet and which is building its
12	A Any what, sorry, any no, I	12	business around different models and can be
13	don't have.	13	subscription-based, like the New York Times
14	Q Okay. Do you understand that	14	was selling the newspaper online and
15	you have sworn to answer all questions in	15	offline, and which also, you know, requires
16	this deposition truthfully?	16	diverse news in a vast majority relying on
17	A Yes.	17	advertising as well, on especially digital
18	Q Okay. Do you understand that	18	advertising.
19	the information you provide during this	19	So Media Publishing Group is a
20	deposition may be used by the Department of	20	company which is treating contents for the
21	Justice in other civil, criminal,	21	user for internet users actually.
22	administrative or regulatory cases or	22	Q Okay. And when I use the phrase
23	proceedings?	23	media publisher, I'm not talking about
24	A Yes, I do.	24	streaming video websites like Netflix or
25	Q Okay. So I'd like to ask you	25	companies like a video game publisher.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 some questions about publisher ad servers.	1	Page 13  Does that make sense?
1 2	· ·		_
	some questions about publisher ad servers.	1	Does that make sense?
2	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?	1 2	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
2 3	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.	1 2 3	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.
2 3 4	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when	1 2 3 4	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The
2 3 4 5	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the	1 2 3 4 5	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.
2 3 4 5 6	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?	1 2 3 4 5	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The  interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is  all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many  large media publisher ad server clients has  Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the  publishers working with us over the past 10  years move to ad server, and I would say 95  percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager,  Google DFP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and indirect display ads like CNN or Lamond.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large media publisher ad server clients that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and indirect display ads like CNN or Lamond.  Does that make sense?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large media publisher ad server clients that Equativ has lost to DFP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and indirect display ads like CNN or Lamond.  Does that make sense?  A I should translate. I think I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large media publisher ad server clients that Equativ has lost to DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and indirect display ads like CNN or Lamond.  Does that make sense?  A I should translate. I think I have the sense of the question, but please	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large media publisher ad server clients that Equativ has lost to DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A I can list a few ones. If you want, actually, what you need to understand is that we started our business in New Hope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some questions about publisher ad servers.  Is that okay?  A Okay.  Q I think you said well, when did Equativ start operating in the publisher ad server business?  A We started actually the ad server of Equativ was in our solution for the needs of the mother company at the start. So it started in '21. We started to sell the solution to publisher groups in 2005. So this is 18 years ago. So the start of the business around ad serving solutions was 2005.  Q Let me define a word for purposes of my questions. I want to define the phrase media publisher to mean a text-based publisher that uses direct and indirect display ads like CNN or Lamond.  Does that make sense?  A I should translate. I think I have the sense of the question, but please translate directly.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Does that make sense?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes.  THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters will search.  MR. VERNON: Thank you. This is all new to me.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q In the last 10 years, how many large media publisher ad server clients has Equativ lost to Google's DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A So actually this is half of the publishers working with us over the past 10 years move to ad server, and I would say 95 percent of them moved to Google Ad Manager, Google DFP.  Q Can you list some of the large media publisher ad server clients that Equativ has lost to DFP?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A I can list a few ones. If you want, actually, what you need to understand

	Page 22		Page 24
	· ·		· ·
1	A And the second point was what we	1	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
2	just said, the costs and risks linked to	2	A So on the publisher ad server,
3	the migration.	3	Google's share is estimated at 90 percent.
4	THE INTERPRETER: And the	4	Q How would you characterize
5	interpreters will now switch.	5	Google DFP's position in the publisher ad
6	MR. VERNON: I took French in	6	server business?
7	high school and I've been bad about	7	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
8	keeping it up. But I can understand	8	A It's dominating and actually
9	some of it, not as much as you can	9	monopolistic position that it holds.
10	understand English, though.	10	Q Why do you say that Google's DFP
11	A So there's a third point.	11	has a dominating or monopolistic position?
12	So the third point is what we	12	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
13	call in English the bundle of Google	13	A When you talk with publishers,
14	products. So that means that Google sells	14	the largest publishers in the different
15	its solutions in one contract, meaning the	15	countries, they all talk about Google's
16	SSP and the ad server in one contract.	16	predominance.
17	So that's not the case with the	17	Q If Equativ and DFP were only
18	offer because you have two contracts, one	18	competing on the basis of which has the
19	for the ad server and the publisher, and	19	best product, without regard to access to
20	one for the DSP.	20	demand from AdX, but just which has the
21	I think we have a difficulty	21	best product
22	translating technical terms.	22	MR. JUSTUS: Objection.
23	So from the supply side, the ad	23	Speculative.
24	server or advertiser contract is different	24	Q how effectively would Equativ
25	from the SSP contract.	25	be able to compete with DFP?
	Page 23		Page 25
1	_	1	•
1 2	Q I think okay, let me try	1 2	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me		MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both
2	Q I think okay, let me try	2	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.
2 3	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.	2 3	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.
2 3 4	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this. On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad	2 3 4	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.
2 3 4 5	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are	2 3 4 5	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form. Q I don't know if you answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form. Q I don't know if you answered. A Yes, it's clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form. Q I don't know if you answered. A Yes, it's clear. Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them to Google's DFP, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.  Second of all, we have complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered. A Yes, it's clear. Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them to Google's DFP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.  Second of all, we have complete interoperability, which is something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them to Google's DFP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes, right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.  Second of all, we have complete interoperability, which is something that we have for all market solutions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes. BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them to Google's DFP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes, right.  Q What's your understanding of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.  Second of all, we have complete interoperability, which is something that we have for all market solutions.  And third of all, we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q I think okay, let me try this. I think what he is saying let me see if I can get this.  On the demand side, there are different contracts for the advertiser ad server and the DSP, is that right?  THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. The interpreter apologizes.  BY MR. VERNON:  Q On the supply side for Google, there is one contract for the publisher ad server and the SSP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  Q I don't know if you answered.  A Yes, it's clear.  Q I think you said before that when Equativ lost media publisher ad server clients, it lost about 95 percent of them to Google's DFP, is that right?  MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A Yes, right.  Q What's your understanding of what's your understanding of the market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.  A When it comes to products, both products have their pros and cons.  MR. JUSTUS: One second. Sorry.  I'm sorry to stop you.  So we have an objection on the translation that we might have a clarification for.  Can you go ahead, Mr. Check  Interpreter.  CHECK INTERPRETER: Rather than pros and cons, I would say strengths and weaknesses. A bit of a nuance.  MR. JUSTUS: Okay. You can go ahead.  A So when we are talking about the strength, first of all, we have an intuitive interface.  Second of all, we have complete interoperability, which is something that we have for all market solutions.  And third of all, we are independent, and so that means that Equativ

	Page 114		Page 116
1	BY MR. VERNON:	1	going off the record. The time is
2	Q And I think you said you wanted	2	11:41.
3	competition to be fair and transparent.	3	(Recess taken 11:41 a.m.)
4	Do you remember that?	4	(Resumed 11:48 a.m.)
5	A Yes.	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now
6	Q Why do you want competition to	6	back on the record. The time is
7	be fair and transparent?	7	11:48.
8	A First of all, because that is	8	EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
9	how all markets should function.	9	BY MR. JUSTUS:
10	And secondly, because as I	10	Q Have you done any studies
11	mentioned earlier, it is a hinderance to	11	regarding the viability of a divesture
12	competition and consequently to innovation	12	remedy in this case?
13	and because we are in a market where the	13	A You're talking about the ad
14	take rate, as I said before where the	14	server in particular?
15	take rates, as I said before, are too high.	15	Q No. The viability of the
16	Q Do you think competition for	16	divesture of any Google product in response
17	Google I'm sorry, let me back up.	17	to antitrust concerns.
18	When you said the take rates are	18	A I have not conducted any
19	too high, what did you mean by that?	19	specific studies, but I would assume that
20	A A few years ago, Google	20	this business would remain very solid.
21	communicated a take rate, an average take	21	MR. JUSTUS: I think that's our
22	rate for each advertising transaction on	22	last question.
23	the order of 32 percent. That includes	23	MR. VERNON: I just want to say
24	supply side fees and demand side fees.	24	thank you to everybody, including
25	Just imagine the New York Stock	25	Arnaud, counsel, counsel for Google,
	oube inagine the new 10111 beoon		initiata, comper, comper for doogre,
	Page 115		Page 117
1	Exchange if it took a 32 percent commission	1	the court reporter and the
2	on every market transaction.	2	translators.
3	The functioning of a digital	3	Thank you for taking the time to
4	programmatic advertising system is very	4	talk to us, and we really appreciate
5	similar to the functioning of a market such	5	it, and I hope you don't miss your
6	as the New York Stock Exchange.	6	train.
7	Q Do you think Google competes in	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This
8	a fair and transparent manner in the	8	concludes today's deposition. We are
9	display advertising technology business	9	going off the record at 11:50.
10	today?	10	(Time noted 11:50 a.m.)
11	MR. JUSTUS: Objection. Form.	11	* * *
12	A I think everything I said so far	12	
13	shows that I don't believe that at all.	13	
14	MR. VERNON: We reserve the	14	
15	balance of our time and pass the	15	
16	witness back.	16	ARNAUD CREPUT
17	MR. JUSTUS: All right. We're	17	
18	going to take a couple of minutes.	18	
19	We'll be back.	19	
20	MS. ARYANKALAYIL: How long do	20	Subscribed and sworn to
21	you need, Brad?	21	before me this day
22	MR. JUSTUS: Two or three	22	of , 20
23	minutes probably.	23	
24	MS. ARYANKALAYIL: Okay.	24	
1		1	

_		gy 00			
		Page 118			Page 120
1	I N D E X	-	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE	Ξ	2	Case Caption:	
3	ARNAUD CREPUT		3		
4	MR. VERNON 6, 99	)	4	UNITED STATES vs.	
5	MR. JUSTUS 67, 116	5	5	GOOGLE LLC	
6			6	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	
7			7	I declare under penalty of perjury	
8	EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION		8		
9			9	that I have read the entire transcript	
10	EXHIBIT 1 Internal Guidelines 82	2	10	of my deposition taken in the captioned	
11				matter or the same has been read to me,	
12	EXHIBIT 2 Printout of web page from 92	2	11	and the same is true and accurate, save	
13	Equativ website		12	and arrant for about a and/or assumations	
14			13	and except for changes and/or corrections,	
15			14	if any, as indicated by me on the	
16				DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the	
17			15	understanding that I offer these changes	
18			16	as if still under oath.	
19			17	as if still under oath.	
20			18 19	SIGNATUREDATE:	
21				ARNAUD CREPUT	
22			20 21	Subscribed and sworn to on the day of	
23			22	, 20 before me,	
24				Notary Public,	
25			23 24	in and for the State of	
2.5			25		
		Page 119			Page 121
1	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	. age e	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	. age
2			2		
3	I, JOAN FERRARA, do hereby certify:		3	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
4	That said deposition was taken at the			Reason for	
5	time and place herein named; and that the		5	change:	
6	transcript is a true record of the testimony	7	6	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
7	as reported by me, a disinterested person,			Reason for	
8	and was thereafter transcribed.		7 8	change:	
9	I further certify that I am not			Page NoLine NoChange	
10	interested in the outcome of the said		9	to: Reason for	
11	action, nor connected with, nor related to		10	change:	
12	any of the parties in said action, nor to		11	Page NoLine NoChange	
13	their respective counsel.		12	to: Reason for	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto		13	change:	
15	set my hand this 5th day of September, 2023.		14	Page NoLine NoChange	
16			15	to:	
17			16	Reason for change:	
18			17		
19	Joan Donara		18	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
20	0		19	Reason for change:	
	JOAN FERRARA, RMR, CFRR		20		
21			21	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
22				Reason for	
23			22	change:	
24				SIGNATURE:DATE:	
25			24 25	ARNAUD CREPUT	